

25 August 2000

Chemical Physics Letters 326 (2000) 454-460

CHEMICAL PHYSICS LETTERS

www.elsevier.nl/locate/cplett

Sensitivity enhancements in MQ-MAS NMR of spin-5/2 nuclei using modulated *rf* mixing pulses¹

Thomas Vosegaard^{a,*}, Dominique Massiot^a, Philip J. Grandinetti^b

^a Centre de Recherches sur les Matériaux à Haute Température, CNRS, 45071 Orléans cedex 2, France ^b Department of Chemistry, Ohio State University, Columbus OH, USA

Received 28 February 2000; in final form 1 June 2000

Abstract

An $X-\overline{X}$ pulse train with stepped modulation frequency was employed to enhance the multiple-quantum to single-quantum coherence transfer in the mixing period of the multiple-quantum magic-angle spinning (MQ-MAS) experiment for spin I = 5/2 nuclei. Two MQ-MAS pulse sequences employing this mixing scheme for the triple-to-single and quintuple-to-single quantum coherence transfers have been designed and their performance is demonstrated for ²⁷Al on samples of NaSi₃AlO₈ and 9Al₂O₃ · 2B₂O₃. Compared to the standard single-pulse mixing sequences, the sensitivity is approximately doubled in the present experiments. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since the introduction of multiple-quantum magic-angle spinning (MQ-MAS) [1], a number of research groups have focused on improving the sensitivity of the MQ-MAS experiment [2–12], which generally suffers from the low coherence transfer amplitudes between multiple (MQ) and single (1Q) quantum coherences [13]. While most researchers focused on optimizing the MQ coherence preparation [2–10], recent studies have found that significant sensitivity enhancements can be obtained using modified triple quantum (3Q) \rightarrow single quantum (1Q)

mixing schemes [3,10–12]. Given that the majority of these proposed improvements have, to date, only been demonstrated for spin I = 3/2 nuclei [3,5– 9,11,12] we have investigated the possible advantages of employing an X–X pulse train for MQ \rightarrow 1Q mixing [11,12] in MQ-MAS experiments on spin I = 5/2 nuclei. We note that both the Kentgens' group and the Vega and Frydman groups have recently reported the use of modulated mixing pulses in 3Q-MAS NMR of ²⁷Al [14,15].

The idea behind the $X-\overline{X}$ pulse train derives from the cosine amplitude modulated mixing period originally proposed by Vega and Naor [16] for 3Q to 1Q conversion in single crystals of spin-3/2 systems and relies on selective irradiation on the satellite transitions. In this Letter, we demonstrate that a similar approach can be applied to higher spin quantum numbers, although, in principle, this requires sequential selective excitation from the outer to inner satellite transitions. Employing this approach, we

^{*} Corresponding author. Present address: Laboratory for Biomolecular NMR Spectroscopy, Department of Molecular and Structural Biology, University of Aarhus, Denmark. Fax: +45-86-19-61-99; e-mail: tv@imsb.au.dk

¹ Presented in part at the 1st Alpine Conference on Solid-State NMR, September 1999, Chamonix, France.

find that significant sensitivity enhancements may be achieved in both 3Q- and 5Q-MAS experiments of ²⁷Al as demonstrated by MQ-MAS spectra for a sample of NaSi₃AlO₈. Furthermore, we obtain an approximate doubling of the 3Q-MAS sensitivity for ²⁷Al in $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$ compared to conventional (single-pulse mixing) 3Q-MAS experiments.

2. Theoretical background

Using fictitious spin-1/2 operators [16], we represent the 5Q coherences with the operators I_{\pm}^{1-6} , the 3Q coherences with I_{\pm}^{1-4} , I_{\pm}^{2-5} , and I_{\pm}^{3-6} , and the central-transition 1Q coherences by I_{\pm}^{3-4} . For MQ-MAS we focus on the coherences associated with the symmetric $(m \leftrightarrow -m)$ transitions, that is, I_{\pm}^{1-6} , I_{\pm}^{2-5} , and I_{\pm}^{3-4} , as only these are unperturbed by the first-order quadrupolar Hamiltonian.

Our approach is to consider the following rotations in the spin I = 5/2 Liouville space,

$$U_{3 \to 1} = \exp\{-i\pi(I_x^{2-3} + I_x^{4-5})\}, \qquad (1)$$

$$U_{5 \to 1} = U_{3 \to 1}U_{5 \to 3}$$

$$= \exp\{-i\pi(I_x^{2-3} + I_x^{4-5})\}$$

$$\times \exp\{-i\pi(I_x^{1-2} + I_x^{5-6})\}. \qquad (2)$$

which convert the 3Q (I_{\pm}^{2-5}) and 5Q (I_{\pm}^{1-6}) coherences into 1Q coherences. That is,

$$U_{3 \to 1} I_{\pm}^{2-5} U_{3 \to 1}^{\dagger} = I_{\pm}^{3-4}$$
(3)

$$U_{5 \to 1} I_{\pm}^{1-6} U_{5 \to 1}^{\dagger} = I_{\pm}^{3-4} .$$
(4)

These equations suggest that an optimum transfer pathway from $3Q \rightarrow 1Q$ coherence can be obtained using a selective inversion (π pulse) of the inner $(\pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 1/2)$ satellite transitions. Likewise the optimum $5Q \rightarrow 1Q$ coherence transfer pathway is obtained with a selective inversion of the outer $(\pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2)$ satellite transitions followed by a selective inversion of the inner satellites. In practice, these simple schemes for MQ transfer are complicated by differences in the quadrupole splittings for different sites/crystallite orientations. Nonetheless, in the case of spin I = 3/2, Madhu et al. [17]

recently showed that an $X-\overline{X}$ pulse train with a fixed modulation frequency can induce such transfers via a rotation-induced *adiabatic* coherence transfer in a similar manner to RIACT [3]. On this basis, we investigated whether an $X-\overline{X}$ pulse train with a fixed modulation frequency near the singularity of the inner satellite transitions could also be used to provide $30 \rightarrow 10$ transfers in spin-5/2 systems. Likewise we hypothesized that the sequential application of two $X-\overline{X}$ pulse trains, the first train having a fixed modulation frequency tuned near the singularity of the outer satellite transitions and the second train having a modulation frequency tuned near the singularity of the inner satellite transitions, would provide, in a manner analogous to the propagator in Eq. (2), a more efficient means of generating 50 \rightarrow 10 coherence transfers.

3. Experimental

The experiments presented in this work were performed on Bruker DSX spectrometers with ²⁷Al Larmor frequencies of $\omega_0/2\pi = 78.2$ MHz (DSX-300) and $\omega_0/2\pi = 104.3$ MHz (DSX-400) employing a Bruker 4 mm probehead and with *rf*-field strengths of $\omega_1/2\pi = 90$ kHz for the preparation and mixing pulses while a weaker *rf*-field strength of $\omega_1/2\pi = 20$ kHz was used for the central-transition selective pulses. All spectra were referenced to liquid Al(H₂O)₆³⁺ (AlCl₃ · 6H₂O). The natural albite sample, NaSi₃AlO₈, has been characterized by XRD to be of Amelia type (JCPDS 20-554). The aluminum borate sample is identical to the one employed in previous MAS and DOR studies [18].

The 3Q-MAS modulated-mixing sequence for a spin-5/2 system is shown in Fig. 1a. This sequence is identical to the shifted-echo sequence that we recently presented to obtain pure absorption-mode lineshapes for spin-3/2 nuclei [20]. In the case of spin-5/2 nuclei, however, it is necessary to employ shifted echo [21,22] acquisition (or symmetrized echo and anti-echo pathways [23,24]) because only the $p = 0 \rightarrow +3 \rightarrow -1$ coherence pathway is refocussed at positive t_2 values [14,15,20,22]. The modulation frequency, $\nu_{\rm m}$, is defined as the inverse of the total time to complete one X- \overline{X} interval. In practice, a delay equal in duration to the pulse is inserted



Fig. 1. Timing schemes and coherence transfer pathways for the 3Q-MAS (a,b) and 5Q-MAS (c,d) experiments employing modulated mixing (a,c) or single-pulse mixing (b,d). All sequences employ shifted-echo acquisition (and delayed acquisition [25] for the 5Q-MAS sequences) to ensure pure absorption-mode lineshapes. The three pulses are phase cycled as $\phi_{1a} = 0^{\circ}$, 60° , 120° , ..., 300° ($\phi_{1b} = 0^{\circ}$, 36° , 72° , ..., 324°) and $\phi_2 = 0^{\circ}$, supercycled by $\phi_3 = 0^{\circ}$, 180° , and with the receiver phase adjusted to select the indicated pathways according to standard procedures [19], i.e., $\phi_{rec} = -3\phi_{1a} + 2\phi_3$ or $\phi_{rec} = -5\phi_{1b} + 2\phi_3$.

between each pulse in the $X-\overline{X}$ pulse train to allow time for the transmitter phase to stabilize. Within the hardware constraints of the spectrometer the modulation frequency is optimized for maximum sensitivity. For the 3O-MAS modulated-mixing experiment we employed the mixing sequence $[X(\tau) - \tau - \overline{X}(\tau) - \tau - \overline{X}(\tau)]$ τ]_m using a modulation frequency of 294 kHz (τ = 0.85 μ s), with m = 4 for a total mixing period of 13.6 μ s, and $\omega_r/2\pi = 10$ kHz (NaSi₃AlO₈), and a modulation frequency of 357 kHz ($\tau = 0.7 \ \mu s$) with m = 3 for a total mixing period of 8.4 µs, and $\omega_r/2\pi = 15$ kHz for the sample of $9Al_2O_3$. $2B_2O_3$. In both cases the total mixing time is approximately one-eighth of a rotor period. For comparison the 3O-MAS single-pulse mixing sequence with shifted-echo acquisition is shown in Fig. 1b. In this experiment an optimized mixing pulse of length $\tau_{\rm mix} = 1.4 \ \mu s$ was used for both samples.

The 5Q-MAS $X-\overline{X}$ modulated-mixing sequence for a spin-5/2 system is shown in Fig. 1c. For the

50 sequence we use delayed acquisition [25] to obtain pure absorption-mode lineshapes. The 5Q-MAS modulated-mixing sequence is slightly more complicated as the mixing period consists of two $X-\overline{X}$ pulse trains for the 50 \rightarrow 30 and 30 \rightarrow 10 transfers, respectively. A simple approach to optimize the sensitivity in this experiment is to first optimize the length and modulation frequency of the $30 \rightarrow 10$ pulse train by employing the sequence in Fig. 1a and then optimize the frequency and length of the 50 \rightarrow 3Q pulse train. The present 5Q-MAS modulated mixing experiment employed the sequence $\{ [X(\tau) \tau - \overline{X}(\tau) - \tau \Big]_m - \Big[X(\tau') - \tau' - \overline{X}(\tau') - \tau' \Big]_{m'} \Big]$ with modulation frequencies of 625 and 294 kHz $(\tau = 0.4 \ \mu s \text{ and } \tau' = 0.85 \ \mu s)$, respectively, using m = 6, m' = 4 for totals times of 9.6 µs and 13.6 µs, or approximately one-tenth and one-eighth of a rotor period, respectively. For comparison the 5Q-MAS single-pulse mixing sequence with shifted-echo acquisition is shown in Fig. 1d. In this experiment an

а

optimized mixing pulse of length $\tau_{\rm mix} = 1.5 \ \mu s$ was used.

4. Results and discussion

To demonstrate the improved sensitivity performance of the MO-MAS sequences with $X-\overline{X}$ mixing we used the four sequences in Fig. 1 for ²⁷Al on a sample of natural NaSi₃AlO₈ ($\omega_r/2\pi = 10$ kHz), which exhibits one site with $C_0 = 3.2$ MHz. Fig. 2 (a-d) shows the cross-sections through the isotropic resonance of the single site for the four different pulse sequences in Fig. 1 and the complete 2D spectrum (Fig. 2e) recorded employing the 3Q-MAS modulated mixing sequence in Fig. 1a. The four cross-sections in Fig. 2a-d are acquired employing the pulse sequences in Fig. 1a-d, respectively. Indeed we observe significant gains in sensitivity by employing modulated mixing (a.c) over the singlepulse mixing spectra (b,d) under the current experimental conditions. The most significant gain is observed for the double-modulated $50 \rightarrow 10$ mixing over the single-pulse mixing sequence. We note that the sensitivity of the 5O-MAS experiments is lower than in the 3O-MAS experiments. Moreover, the 50-MAS experiments reveal a significant distortion of the second-order quadrupolar lineshape, caused by the inhomogeneous 5Q coherence excitation (and $5Q \rightarrow 1Q$ mixing) for the different crystallite orientations. Therefore, the applicability of the 5Q-MAS experiment will still be limited by a low sensitivity [26]. However, it will often be of interest to perform 5Q-MAS rather than 3Q-MAS experiments since 5Q-MAS provides a larger dispersion of isotropic chemical shifts in the isotropic dimension than 3Q-MAS. In this context we note that a very recent study has demonstrated that the optimum dispersion of chemical shifts is achieved by combining evolutions under 5Q and 3Q coherences in the indirect dimension [27].

4.1. ²⁷Al MQ-MAS NMR of $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$

 $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$ is a binary oxide that has previously been intensively studied by ²⁷Al solid-state NMR [18,28–30]. This compound is of particular



b

employing the 3Q modulated mixing (Fig. 1a) sequence, the 3Q single-pulse mixing (Fig. 1b) sequence, the 5Q modulated mixing (Fig. 1c) sequence, and the 5Q single-pulse mixing (Fig. 1d) sequence, respectively. Note that the 3Q-MAS and 5Q-MAS spectra are not plotted with the same vertical scale. The contour plot (e) represents the full two-dimensional spectrum of the 3Q modulated mixing experiment. The contours are drawn at 10, 20, ..., and 90% of the maximum intensity.

interest for high-resolution ²⁷Al NMR because of the presence of four Al sites with different coordination numbers (Al_{IV} : one site, Al_V : two sites, and Al_{VI} : one site with double intensity) [31]. Although the quadrupole coupling parameters and isotropic chemical shifts have already been reported for all four aluminum sites, we still found this material a challenge for an ²⁷Al MQ-MAS NMR study because of the relatively strong quadrupolar couplings ($C_{\rm O}$ s in



Fig. 3. (a) Two-dimensional ²⁷Al 3Q-MAS spectrum of $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$ recorded at 9.4 T employing the modulated-mixing sequence in Fig. 1a. The isotropic projection reveals three distinct resonances. The cross-sections through these resonances (b) show well-defined second-order quadrupolar lineshapes for the sites located at approximately -56 and -12 ppm in the isotropic dimension while the cross-section of the resonance at -37 ppm displays two partly overlapping sites. The cross-sections in (c) result from the spectrum recorded using the single-pulse mixing sequence in Fig. 1b and plotted on the same vertical scale as the corresponding modulated-mixing cross-sections in (b).

the range of 6–9 MHz) which will cause very low intensities in regular MQ-MAS experiments.

Figs. 3 and 4 display the ²⁷Al 3Q-MAS spectra of $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$ recorded at 9.4 and 7.1 T, respectively, with a sample spinning rate of $\omega_r/2\pi = 15$

kHz. In both spectra we note a clear separation of the Al_{IV} , Al_{V} , and Al_{VI} sites. The isotropic projection of the 7.1 T spectrum (Fig. 4) reveals the presence of four sites in accordance with the crystal symmetry [31] and previous NMR studies [18,29]. For the



Fig. 4. (a) Two-dimensional 27 Al 3Q-MAS spectrum of $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$ recorded at 7.1 T employing the modulated-mixing sequence in Fig. 1a. The isotropic projection reveals four distinct resonances at approximately -68, -48, -44, and -16 ppm. The cross-sections through all four resonances (b) show well-defined second-order quadrupolar lineshapes. The cross-sections in (c) result from the spectrum recorded using the single-pulse mixing sequence in Fig. 1b and plotted on the same vertical scale as the corresponding modulated-mixing cross-sections in (b). For illustration of the quality of the spectrum the best-fit simulations are shown by dashed lines in (b).

Table 1

Site	С _Q (МНz)	$\eta_{ m Q}$	δ _{iso} (ppm)	Reference
Al _{IV}	9.1 ± 0.1	0.44 ± 0.02	71 ± 1	This work ^a
	8.9	0.42	70	[29] ^b
	9.0	0.45	71	[18]
Al _v (1)	8.0 ± 0.1	0.70 ± 0.05	44 ± 1	This work ^a
	7.1	0.49	43	[29] ^b
	7.9	0.7	44	[18]
Al _v (2)	6.8 ± 0.1	0.08 ± 0.02	52 ± 1	This work ^a
	9.4	0.02	52	[29] ^b
	6.8	0.06	52	[18]
$\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{VI}}$	5.75 ± 0.05 6.0 6.0	0.39 ± 0.02 0.45 0.40	$8 \pm 1 \\ 8 \\ 8.6$	This work ^a [29] ^b [18]

²⁷Al quadrupole couplings (C_Q, η_Q) and isotropic chemical shifts (δ_{iso}) for the four aluminum sites in 9Al₂O₃ · 2B₂O₃ determined from the present ²⁷Al MO-MAS study and previous MAS and DOR studies

^a The parameters represent weighted averages for the two magnetic field strengths (7.1 and 9.4 T) employed in this study.

^b The parameters listed are averages of the parameters determined from the 156 and 104 MHz spectra in Ref. [29].

spectrum recorded at 9.4 T (Fig. 3), however, the two Al_V sites both resonate at approximately -37 ppm and are still partly overlapping in the cross-section through this resonance (Fig. 3b). The cross-sections through the isotropic resonances reveal that the intensity of the spectra recorded with modulated mixing (Fig. 3b and Fig. 4b) is approximately doubled relative to the single-pulse mixing spectra in Fig. 3c and Fig. 4c.

The higher sensitivity of the modulated-mixing spectra in Figs. 3 and 4 allows us to determine the quadrupole coupling parameters and isotropic chemical shifts for all four sites with quite good precision. These parameters are summarized in Table 1 which, for comparison, also includes the parameters determined from previous MAS and DOR studies [29,18]. We find that all parameters for all four sites are in very good agreement with those of the previous studies [29,18] except slight disagreements with the parameters for site Al_v (1).

5. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that an $X-\overline{X}$ pulse train can also be employed during the mixing period of the MQ-MAS experiment on spin-5/2 nuclei to enhance the spectral sensitivity. For the case of 5Q-MAS, the sequential application of two $X-\overline{X}$ pulse trains with different modulation frequencies are employed for the 5Q \rightarrow 1Q mixing. Using this approach we have obtained an approximate doubling of the sensitivity for ²⁷Al 3Q-MAS and 5Q-MAS spectra of polycrystalline NaSi₃AlO₈ and 9Al₂O₃ \cdot 2B₂O₃.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from CNRS UPR4212 and Région Centre is acknowledged. T.V. acknowledges financial support from the Carlsberg Foundation. P.J.G. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (No. CHE-9807498). The authors would like to thank Prof. L. Frydman, Prof. S. Vega, and Prof. A.P.M. Kentgens for valuable discussions regarding modulated mixing.

References

- L. Frydman, J.S. Harwood, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 5367.
- [2] A. Medek, J.S. Harwood, L. Frydman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 12779.
- [3] G. Wu, D. Rovnyak, R.G. Griffin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 9326.

- [4] G. Wu, D. Rovnyak, B.Q. Sun, R.G. Griffin, Chem. Phys. Lett. 249 (1996) 210.
- [5] J.P. Amoureux, C. Fernandez, L. Frydman, Chem. Phys. Lett. 259 (1996) 347.
- [6] S. Ding, C. McDowell, Chem. Phys. Lett. 270 (1997) 81.
- [7] M.J. Duer, C. Stourton, J. Magn. Reson. 124 (1997) 189.
- [8] T. Vosegaard, F.H. Larsen, H.J. Jakobsen, P.D. Ellis, N.C. Nielsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 9055.
- [9] L. Marinelli, A. Medek, L. Frydman, J. Magn. Reson. 132 (1998) 88.
- [10] T. Mildner, M.E. Smith, R. Dupree, Chem. Phys. Lett. 301 (1999) 389.
- [11] A.P.M. Kentgens, R. Verhagen, Chem. Phys. Lett. 300 (1999) 435.
- [12] P.K. Madhu, A. Goldbourt, L. Frydman, S. Vega, Chem. Phys. Lett. 307 (1999) 41.
- [13] N.C. Nielsen, H. Bildsøe, H.J. Jakobsen, Chem. Phys. Lett. 191 (1992) 205.
- [14] A.P.M. Kentgens, Alpine Conference on Solid-State NMR, Chamonix, 1999.
- [15] A. Goldbourt, P.K. Madhu, S. Vega, Chem. Phys. Lett. 320 (2000) 448.
- [16] S. Vega, Y. Naor, J. Chem. Phys. 75 (1981) 75.
- [17] P.K. Madhu, A. Goldbourt, L. Frydman, S. Vega, J. Chem. Phys. 112 (2000) 2377.
- [18] D. Massiot, D. Müller, Th. Hübert, M. Schneider, A.P.M. Kentgens, B. Coté, J.P. Coutures, W. Gessner, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 5 (1995) 175.

- [19] G. Bodenhausen, H. Kogler, R.R. Ernst, J. Magn. Reson. 58 (1984) 370.
- [20] T. Vosegaard, P. Florian, P.J. Grandinetti, D. Massiot, J. Magn. Reson. 143 (2000) 217.
- [21] P.J. Grandinetti, J.H. Baltisberger, A. Llor, Y.K. Lee, U. Werner, M.A. Eastman, A. Pines, J. Magn. Reson. A 103 (1993) 72.
- [22] D. Massiot, B. Touzo, D. Trumeau, J.P. Coutures, J. Virlet, P. Florian, P.J. Grandinetti, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 6 (1996) 73.
- [23] J.P. Amoureux, C. Fernandez, S. Steuernagel, J. Magn. Reson. A 123 (1996) 116.
- [24] S.P. Brown, S.J. Heyes, S. Wimperis, J. Magn. Reson. A 119 (1996) 280.
- [25] S.P. Brown, S. Wimperis, J. Magn. Reson. 128 (1997) 42.
- [26] C. Fernandez, J.P. Amoureux, Chem. Phys. Lett. 242 (1995) 449.
- [27] J. Logan, A. Jerschow, A. Pines, Experimental NMR Conference, Asilomar, CA, 2000.
- [28] R. Dupree, D. Holland, D.S. Williams, Phys. Chem. Glasses 26 (1985) 50.
- [29] G. Kunath, P. Losso, S. Steuernagel, H. Schneider, C. Jäger, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 1 (1992) 261.
- [30] P.J. Dirken, G.H. Nachtegaal, A.P.M. Kentgens, Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson. 5 (1995) 189.
- [31] M. Garsche, E. Tillmanns, H. Almen, H. Schneider, V. Kupcik, Eur. J. Mineral. 3 (1991) 793.